

Method Validation and Quality Control
Procedures for Pesticide Residue Analysis in
Food and Feed
SANCO/12495/2011

8th Review

Tuija Pihlström



LIVSMEDELS
VERKET

EU/RL/NRL/OFL WS Almeria 23-25 October 2013

Why do we need the guidelines?

- To harmonize cost effective AQC in the EU = to find an optimum between cost and output (efficiency/quality)
- To help monitoring laboratories achieve an acceptable standard
- The reported results are reliable and consistent with other similar results
- To support compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 accreditation standard

Reviews:

1. Doc. SANCO 7826/IV71997
2. Doc. SANCO/3103/2000
 - discussed at EU AQC, 1999, in Greece
3. Doc. SANCO/10476/2003
 - discussed at EU AQC, 2003 in UK
4. Doc. SANCO/10232/2006
 - discussed at EU AQC, 2005 in Sweden
5. Doc. SANCO/3131/2007
 - discussed at EU AQC, 2007 in Spain (EU RL)
6. Doc. SANCO 10684/2009
 - discussed at EU AQC, 2009 in Copenhagen (EU RL)
7. Doc. SANCO 12495/2011
 - discussed at EU AQC, 2011 in Freiburg (EU RL)
8. Doc. SANCO xxxx/2013
 - discussed at 8th EU AQC, 2013 in Almeria (EU RL)



Advisory group-AVG

- Mette Erecius Poulsen EUURL-CER
- Miquel Gamón EU RL-FV
- Amadeo Fernández R. Alba EU RL-FV
- Ralf Lippold EU RL-AO
- Michelangelo Anastassiades EU RL-SRM
- André de Kok NL
- Stewart Reynolds UK
- Richard Fussel UK
- Antonio Valverde ES
- Sonja Messelter (2009) A
- Hans Mol (2009) NL
- Darinka Steinberger (2011) SV
- Magnus Jezzusek (2011) DE
- Tuija Pihlström (Coordinator) SE
- Arne Andersson (1997-2009) SE

Latest revisions of the AQC document - main topics

2005	Accreditation Analytical methods and analytical performance Confirmation of results <i>Recovery correction (reporting results)</i>
2007	Representative analytes Minimum number of analytes for calibration and routine recovery Inclusion of AO, CER and feed in the document. Measurement uncertainty, reporting results <i>Recovery correction (reporting results)</i>
2009	Mass spectrometry Appendix A and B Annex I <i>Recovery correction (reporting results)</i>
2011	LOQ for multi component residues. The requirements for screening methods Conversion factors for all multi-component New definitions of the different types of internal standards Stability of pesticide standards Calculation of the MU

Revision procedure

The advisory group (AQC-AdvG) agreed to start the process of the revision at early stage. As a preparation to this undertaking the group decided to introduce a short AQC-session within the EURL-workshop in Cyprus 12-13 November to have the opportunity to discuss specific AQC-topics that might need to be introduced or revised

Revision procedure

Proposals for changes and new issues (AQC-AdvG):

- Overall document revision (structure and content)
- Identification criteria in MS applications
- Validation criteria of screening methods
- Standard stability
- Requirements for flexible scope accreditation
- Sample processing, influence of particle size
- Pooling of samples with low frequency of findings



Revision procedure

On the basis of these proposals, a survey was sent to the participants in order to have the opinion on the selected topics/issues and to propose additional topics that would be introduced or revised. The additional topics were :

- General aspect of the revision
- Calibration/standard addition
- Expression of results
- Results outside of the calibration range
- Procedure for supplementing samples
- Recovery set up
- Representative/represented pesticide approach

**Compiled comments
and replies on**
“Proposals for topics to
be revised/introduced in
the AQC-document”

Legal basis

The document entails mutually acceptable scientific rules for official pesticide residue analysis within the EU as agreed by all Member States of the European Union and constitutes a technical guideline in the sense of article 28 of Regulation 396/2005. It should thus be consulted in audits and accreditations of official pesticide residue laboratories according to ISO/IEC 17025.

Agreement on the new version

Voting October 25

- 1) Voting on the entire document
- 2) Open voting –one vote/MS
- 3) For decision, majority rule is applied (90%)

Overall document revision (structure and content)

	A. Introduction and legal background -----
Analysis	B. Sampling, transport, traceability and storage of laboratory samples
	C. Sample Analysis
	D. Identification and Confirmation of Results
	E. Reporting results -----
	F. Pesticide standards, calibration solutions, etc.
Validation	G. Analytical method validation and performance criteria
	H. Additional Recommendations for Good Laboratory Practice